

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 05 2004

201 S. Main Street, Suite 1100
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
phone 801.328.3131
fax 801.578.6999
www.stoel.com

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

| Name: | Fax No. | Company/Firm | Phone No. |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| TO: Rexford N. Barnie Art Unit 2643 | (703) 872-9306 | USPTO | |
| Name: | Sender's Direct Dial: | Sender's Direct Email: | |
| FROM: Kory Christensen | (801) 578-6993 | kdchristensen@stoel.com | |

| | |
|---------------|------------|
| Client: 50588 | Matter: 50 |
|---------------|------------|

DATE: October 5, 2004

No. of Pages (including this cover): 3

Originals Not Forwarded Unless Checked: ☐ First Class Mail ☐ Overnight Delivery ☐ Hand Delivery

In case of error call Jan Wilson at (801) 715-6641

This facsimile may contain confidential information that is protected by the attorney-client or work product privilege. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an employee responsible for delivering the facsimile, please do not distribute this facsimile, notify us immediately by telephone, and return this facsimile by mail. Thank you.

COMMENTS:

Attached is a Response to Restriction Requirement for U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/917,177 filed July 27, 2001 -Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SCREENING INCOMING VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS WITHIN AN INTERACTIVE TELEVISION SYSTEM.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 05 2004

CERTIFICATE OF FILING BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) 872-9306, on October 5, 2004.


Kory D. Christensen

Docket No. 4000.2.50 (50588/50)
Digeo Ref. 225

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Robert E. Novak et al.

Confirmation No. 9646

Application No. 09/917,177

Filed: July 27, 2001

For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
SCREENING INCOMING VIDEO
COMMUNICATION WITHIN AN
INTERACTIVE TELEVISION SYSTEM**

Group Art Unit: 2643

Examiner: Rexford N. Barnie

Date: October 5, 2004

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

In response to the Office Action mailed September 14, 2004, the applicants elect, with traverse, the claims of Group I. The applicants respectfully point out that claim 21 (Group II) only differs from claim 1 (Group I) in that the call screener is a third party rather than the intended recipient. The screener decides whether or not to accept the call on the basis of caller ID, just as the recipient does in claim 1.

While a video and/or audio connection may be established between the third-party call screener and the caller, the claims do not recite a three-way connection (*i.e.*, between the screener, the caller, and the recipient). Hence, claim 21 is not drawn to three-way calling in subclass 207.01 as the Examiner suggests. Rather, claim 21 should be drawn to subclass 142.05 (authentication or authorization) as in claim 1.

Similarly, claim 31 recites third-party call screening (not three-way calling), with the addition of pre-screening based on an unauthorized caller list. Claims 52 and 53 are system claims including similar limitations to those of claims 21 and 31, and are likewise believed to be drawn to the invention of Group I. Claim 61 is likewise also to be drawn to the invention of Group I because it is a means-plus-function version of claim 21.

Reconsideration of the Group I and II restriction is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Digeo, Inc.

By


Kory D. Christensen
Registration No. 43,548

STOEL RIVES LLP
One Utah Center Suite 1100
201 S Main Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84111-4904
Telephone: (801) 328-3131
Facsimile: (801) 578-6999

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.